NNDC 2025 Annual Conference Poster and Abstract Submission Information

Visit the NNDC 2025 Annual Conference website regularly for important dates and conference information.

Subscribe to the monthly NNDC Newsletter to receive the latest conference updates delivered directly to your inbox!

Questions about posters or submissions can be directed to: nndc@nndc.org

The NNDC 17th Annual Conference will be held from September 10-11, 2025 with co-hosts University of Cincinnati, the Lindner Center of HOPE and Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center in Cincinnati, Ohio at The Graduate by Hilton. NNDC business meetings (Task Groups, etc) will take place on Wednesday, September 10th. The main NNDC academic research sessions will be held on Thursday, September 11th.

Visit the NNDC 2025 Annual Conference website for further information.

The Conference Program Committee welcomes all conference attendees to submit a poster related to depression and bipolar illnesses.

Posters can be submitted to one of two categories:

1. Basic Science

All areas of research are welcome, but posters dealing with approaches (both biological and psychosocial) to understanding mood disorders, new or repurposed treatments and technologies, monitoring strategies with the potential to improve patient outcomes, or objective measures like biometrics, neuroimaging, bloodwork, or genetics are encouraged.

2. Clinical Programs

Unified efforts are the best way to expedite technological innovation, dissemination of new information, and translation of research into clinical practice. Posters in this category might showcase multidisciplinary programs, demonstrate the efficacy of collaborations beyond academic boundaries, or deal with clinical assessments like patient questionnaires, clinical diagnoses, or clinical interviews.

There will be a total of four (4) poster awards given. A "Best Overall" and a "Best Emerging Scholar" poster award will be designated for each category. "Emerging Scholars" are graduate students, fellows, post-doctoral fellows, residents, or early career faculty within 5 years of fellowship or post-graduate appointment at an NNDC Center of Excellence or an NNDC Associate Member institution.

HOW IT WORKS

• Who can present a poster?

All attendees are welcome to submit a poster, but poster presenters must be the author or co-author. Posters from commercial or for-profit entities are available only to conference sponsors.

How many posters can I present?

Only one poster per individual will be accepted; posters submitted by representatives of commercial or other forprofit or non-academic entities will be limited to one per entity/organization.

• What is the recommended abstract format?

See the recommended poster formatting guidelines in the subsequent pages.

• Are there examples of previous posters and abstracts?

View the <u>2024 Poster Lists and Abstracts</u>, the <u>2022 Posters List and Abstracts</u> or peruse the <u>2021 Virtual</u> <u>Conference Poster Directory</u> to see abstracts and posters from previous conferences.



How do I submit an abstract?

Poster abstracts are collected using a simple online form available now through **Jotform here**.

What is the abstract submission deadline?

Submissions are accepted until Friday, August 8, 2025. (a month in advance of the event)

• How will I know if my abstract has been accepted?

All abstracts are accepted unless there is an issue with the submission. A confirmation email will be sent after submission.

When is the poster session?

The Poster session will be held on Thursday, September 11th with the time to be announced shortly.

When can I set up my poster?

Posters may be displayed at any time before the session – tackboards will be available first thing in the morning of the session, so it is recommended that posters are set up during the morning, with more details to come soon.

• How long will the poster be displayed?

Tackboards will be removed in the evening around 6:00 p.m. Please note that any remaining posters will be discarded at that time.

• What are the poster specifications?

Poster size should be no larger than 36 inches high X 48 inches wide (3' h x 4' w)

Note: Posters will be displayed on tackboards which are 48 inches high x 96 inches wide (4' h x 8' w)

Where can I get my poster printed?

NOTE: Presenters are responsible for printing their own posters.

- o View the <u>List of Cincinnati Printing Locations</u> for options to have posters printed locally in Cincinnati.
- o If needed, posters may be shipped to the following address of the conference venue:

The Graduate by Hilton c/o ***YOUR NAME*** 151 Goodman St, Cincinnati, OH NNDC 2025 Annual Conference - Poster

• When will poster awards be announced?

Awards for each category will be announced during the evening Dinner & Awards Ceremony.



NNDC 2025 Annual Conference Poster Abstract Formatting Guidelines

Abstracts must be submitted as Word documents of one-half to one full page in length and should include **background**, **methods**, **results**, and **conclusion(s)**; do not include tables or figures. Download and use one of the pre-formatted templates below. Copy and paste plain text into the template with no additional formatting.

I. Spacing:

- before paragraphs and between sections: 10pt
- paragraph text: single spacing
- II. Alignment:
 - text: left justify
- III. Abstract Title: Arial, Bold, 10pt
- IV. Authors: Arial, 10pt
 - by authorship level
 - full name = first, middle initial (if desired), last
 - no degrees included
 - comma (,) after each author
 - underline submitting presenter (no asterisk unless co-presenter)
 - superscript asterisk for co-presenters, including underlined submitting presenter (after author name and after institution superscript number)

V. Headings:

- All headings: Arial, Bold, 10pt
- Institutions (superscript)
 - o Text below heading: Arial, 10pt, superscript
 - o Add superscript numbers after author name
 - o Include superscript number before institutions in citation
- **Presenters** (superscript)
 - o Text below heading: Arial, 10pt, superscript
 - underlined submitting presenter
 - o Asterisk (*) for co-presenters, including underlined submitted presenter
- Background
 - All text after heading: Arial, 10pt
- Methods
 - All text after heading: Arial, 10pt
- Results
 - o All text after heading: Arial, 10pt
- Conclusions
 - All text after heading: Arial, 10pt
- References (superscript) if applicable
 - APA style
 - o All text after heading: Arial, 10pt, superscript
 - Include reference superscript numbers after sentence
 - o Include superscript number before text in citation
- All text after headings: Arial, 10pt
- Abstract Templates (samples on next pages)
 - NNDC Abstract Template #1
 - NNDC Abstract Template #2



A metric of pharmacotherapy guideline concordance and its association with major depressive disorder symptom severity and patient functioning

Mason T. Breitzig¹, Fan He¹; Lan Kong¹, Guodong Liu^{1,2}, Daniel A. Waschbusch², Jeff D. Yanosky¹, Erika F. H. Saunders², Duanping Liao¹

Institutions

- Department of Public Health Sciences. Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey. PA
- 2. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Health, Penn State College of Medicine and Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA

Presenters:

underlined submitting presenter

Background: Previous studies estimate that fewer than 70% of patients with major depressive disorder (MDD) receive guideline-concordant care. However, these estimates rely upon disparate and often over-simplified definitions of concordance that are also rarely examined with respect to patient outcomes. To address this issue, we developed a multifaceted scoring framework for MDD pharmacotherapy guideline concordance and assessed its association with MDD symptom severity (PHQ-9) and functioning (WHODAS 2.0).

Methods: This analysis involved 1,452 adults (68% female; mean age of 43 years) with MDD from the Penn State Psychiatry Clinical Assessment and Rating Evaluation System (PCARES) psychiatric outpatient registry. Patients with psychotic features or a diagnosis of bipolar disorder were excluded. At baseline, patients started with a perfect concordance score (9 points; 1 point per criterion). Point deductions were made for each criterion failed within a 1-year window. Since fewer criteria apply to those with recurrent depression, point deductions were weighted by baseline diagnosis (non-recurrent: -1.00; recurrent: -1.285). Out of the nine criteria, four focus on treatment sequence, two on treatment dose and duration, two on interactions and regulations, and one assesses visit frequency. Linear associations between concordance scores and 1-year PHQ-9/WHODAS scores were evaluated using naïve Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (rho) and multivariable-adjusted general linear models.

Results: Twenty-seven percent of patients received a perfect concordance score of 9, 18% scored 8-8.9, 32% scored 7-7.9, and 23% scored below a 7. The concordance score and raw (all repeated measures a 1-year window) PHQ-9 and WHODAS visit scores demonstrated significant inverse rank correlations (PHQ-9: ρ = -0.18, ρ < 0.0001; WHODAS: ρ = -0.11, ρ < 0.0001). After adjustment for sociodemographic variables and co- morbidities, higher guideline-concordance was associated with better mean (average of all visit scores in a 1- year window) symptom scores (PHQ-9: β = -0.29, ρ = 0.0002) and functioning (WHODAS: β = -0.54, ρ = 0.0127). The most frequently failed criterion was reaching the maximum recommended dose before switching to a different medication, which 55% of patients failed at least once.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates a significant association between the degree of pharmacotherapy guideline-concordance and measures of MDD response. Future longitudinal work with this pharmacotherapy guideline concordance metric will help identify gaps in guideline application and provide strategies to improve pharmacotherapy effectiveness.



Ohio State University Comprehensive Psychotherapy Pathway for Depression: Implementation of a stepped- care model guided by patient-reported outcomes in an academic medical center

Sophie A Lazarus*, Kristen M. Carpenter, Jay C. Fournier*

Institution

Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Health, The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Columbus, OH 43210

Presenters:

underlined submitting presenter

* co-presenters

Background: Major depressive disorder is a serious illness characterized by significant impairment for individuals and costs to society¹. Analyses of data from the National Survey on Drug use and Health revealed that substantial unmet treatment needs remain in the MDD population. While the number of individuals with MDD has increased, the proportion receiving treatment has not¹. Further, depression is highly recurrent and depressive relapse is related to disproportionate healthcare burden². Both stepped-care models of treatment³ and an emphasis on evidence-based approaches to relapse prevention⁴ have the potential to address these problems. Despite this, there are few real-world investigations on how to implement these models.

Methods: In July of 2021 we secured funding via an internal medical center mechanism to implement a stepped care and relapse prevention pathway for the treatment of depression. The aim of the project is to build upon our existing resources for outpatient individual psychotherapy for depression to 1) initiate an entry-level behavioral activation group for mild to moderate depression, 2) increase capacity to provide MBCT groups for relapse prevention once patients complete standard acute treatments, 3) and enhance utilization of patient-reported outcomes (PROs) to inform treatment assignment and progression.

Results: In year 1 of the project, we have successfully 1) initiated a group behavioral activation therapy offering (23 patients enrolled to date), 2) increased capacity to provide MBCT groups for relapse prevention (44 patients to date), and 3) enhanced provider education and outreach to increase utilization of group resources and PROs. Obstacles identified include the creation of a new referral workflow for the BA group offering, provider education and uptake of PROs and the stepped-care model, and patient compliance with PROs. Preliminary data on depressive outcomes and psychosocial outcomes will be presented.

Conclusions: With the availability of effective treatments for depression, increasing access to high quality care informed by PROs is critical. The initial phase of this project is complete, and we continue to increase the frequency of group offerings and refine the use of PROs throughout the pathway. Longer term goals for the program are to augment PROs with objective measures to support treatment assignment, to assess progress, and to better integrate medication management and interventional psychiatry services into treatment decision- making algorithms.

References:

- 1. Greenberg, P. E., Fournier, A. A., Sisitsky, T., Simes, M., Berman, R., Koenigsberg, S. H., & Kessler, R. C. (2021). The economic burden of adults with major depressive disorder in the United States (2010 and 2018). Pharmacoeconomics, 39(6), 653-665.
- 2. Touya, M., Lawrence, D. F., Kangethe, A., Chrones, L., Evangelatos, T., & Polson, M. (2022). Incremental burden of relapse in patients with major depressive disorder: a real-world, retrospective cohort study using claims data. *BMC psychiatry*, 22(1), 1-9.
- 3. Firth, N., Barkham, M., & Kellett, S. (2015). The clinical effectiveness of stepped care systems for depression in working age adults: a systematic review. *Journal of affective disorders*, 170, 119-130.
- 4. Kuyken, W., Warren, F. C., Taylor, R. S., Whalley, B., Crane, C., Bondolfi, G., ... & Dalgleish, T. (2016). Efficacy of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy in prevention of depressive relapse: an individual patient data meta-analysis from randomized trials. *JAMA psychiatry*, 73(6), 565-574.