

Admixture Mapping Implicates ROBO2 as Bipolar Disorder Risk Gene in African Americans Suzanne Gonzalez, PhD¹, J. Dylan Weissenkampen, PhD², Tesfaye Mersha, PhD³, and Dajiang Liu, PhD, MA¹. ¹Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA. ²Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA. ³Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH.

Introduction

Great strides have been made in identifying the genetic underpinnings of bipolar disorder (BD) in populations with European ancestry; however, there has been a great paucity of studies focused on admixed population such as African Americans. Populations of European descent contain only a subset of human genetic variation, and populations vary in terms of disease-allele frequencies, linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns, disease prevalence, and effect size; therefore, diverse populations are needed to reveal the underlying biological variation in complex genetic disorders such as BD. Studies focusing on admixed populations present unique opportunities to explore the genetic etiology of BD and may identify ancestry specific risk loci using genetic methods such as admixture mapping.

Methods

Data was obtained from the GAIN study through the database of genotypes and phenotypes (dbGaP) platform (study accession: phs000017.v3.p1). Subjects were filtered for African American ancestry, resulting in to 686 African American controls, 265 African Americans with BD and 150 with schizoaffective, bipolar type (SABP). Genotype data was formatted using PLINK and intersected with the 1000 Genome project and the Human Genome Diversity Project reference panels and overlapping SNPs retained using BCFtools. Global African and European ancestry was estimated using the ADMIXTURE software¹. Local ancestry at each SNP was estimated using the ELAI software².

Methods

We performed genome-wide admixture mapping to identify local African or European ancestry regions associated with BD in African Americans using linear regression models in R, adjusting for sex. P-value threshold analysis was performed with STEAM: Significance Threshold Estimation for Admixture Mapping (https://github.com /kegrinde/STEAM). Power analysis was conducted using PAMAM: Power analysis in multiancestry admixture mapping³.



1,084 individuals and 810,371 variants were retained passed QC and were included in the final admixture mapping analyses.



Estimated Admixture Proportions of African American BD Cases and Controls. African (AFR) and European (EUR) ancestral populations. No significant differences in ancestry means (p = 0.187, t-test).

Results



Admixture Mapping Results. Manhattan plot indicates chromosomal regions where local ancestry is associated with BD. The top nominally associated ancestry specific SNP was rs4327364 (Z= -4.147, p= 3.36e-5). Analytical p-value = 7.57e-6 (red line).

Power analysis indicates the current study is underpowered and a combined case-control sample size of 2,540 is needed to achieve 80% power.

Discussion

Although no variants reached statistical threshold of significance, we report suggestive evidence that rs4327364 within the ROBO2 gene is associated with BD risk in African Americans. ROBO2 encodes the Roundabout Guidance Receptor 2 protein, which functions in axon guidance and cell migration and is critical for the maintenance of inhibitory synapses in the adult brain⁴. Previous GWAS indicate that variants within ROBO2 associated with are the schizophrenia, depression and depressive symptoms, response to ketamine in bipolar disorder/major depression, brain volume, and chronotype⁵.

Conclusions

Our findings suggests that population-specific genetic variation contributes to BD in African Americans, that the genetic and underpinnings of BD may differ between racial/ethnic groups. Future, larger studies utilizing independent and joint admixture mapping/association techniques may elucidate novel population specific susceptibility loci in BD as well as common loci associated with BD across diverse ancestral populations.

References

- 127(1-2),

Funding

Institutional Funds, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Health, Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center

1. Alexander, Novembre, & Lange. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome research, 19(9), 1655-1664. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.094052.109

Guan. (2014). Detecting structure of haplotypes and local ancestry. Genetics, 196(3), 625-642. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.113.160697

3. Gautam, Ghandikota, Chen, & Mersha. (2019). PAMAM: Power analysis in multiancestry admixture mapping. Genetic epidemiology, 43(7), 831-843. https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.22216

4. Hocking et al. (2010). Distinct roles for Robo2 in the regulation of axon and dendrite growth by retinal ganglion cells. Mechanisms of development, 36–48.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2009.11.002

Buniello et al. (2019). The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic acids research, 47(D1), D1005-D1012. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1120